

Advanced Services Engineering, Summer 2016 – Lecture 4

Data as a Service – Models and Engineering

Hong-Linh Truong Distributed Systems Group, Vienna University of Technology

truong@dsg.tuwien.ac.at http://dsg.tuwien.ac.at/staff/truong





Data provisioning and data service units

2

- Data-as-a-Service concepts
- Data concerns for DaaS
- Evaluating data concerns





DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS GR

What is the common point here?

"Use of several health, food and recipe services, in order to collect general food information"

"Latest data on air quality is fetched from London Air API"

"collect location-data from multiple Sources combine location- with social-data"

"Measure and report water quality metrics"

"give data about crimes in an area ranking of data quality

"real time production information from photovoltaic panels"





.

Data provisioning activities and issues



Non-exhausive list! Add your own issues!



DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS GROU

Stakeholders in data provisioning







What about the granularity of the unit?

- Can be used for private or public
- Can be elastic or not



Data service units in clouds

- Provide data capabilities rather than provide computation or software capabilities
- Providing data in clouds/internet is an increasing trend
 - In both business and e-science environments
- Now often in a combination of data + analytics of the data → to provide data assets



Data service units in distributed edge and cloud systems



Edge/Cloud Infrastructure

ASE Summer 2016



Data as a Service -- characteristics

Let us use NIST's definition

- On-demand self-service
 - Capabilities to provision data at different granularities
- Resource pooling
 - Multiple types of data, big, static or near-realtime,raw data and high-level information
- Broad network access
 - Can be access from anywhere
- Rapid elasticity
 - Easy to add/remove data sources
- Measured service
 - Measuring, monitoring and publishing data concerns and usage



Data as a Service – service models and deployment models

Data-as-a-Service – service models

Data publish/subcription middleware as a service

Sensor-as-a-Service

Database-as-a-Service (Structured/non-structured querying systems)

Storage-as-a-Service (Basic storage functions)

- deploy

Edge and/or Cloud Systems

ASE Summer 2016



Examples of DaaS





- Read-only DaaS versus CRUD DaaS APIs
- Service APIs versus Data APIs
 - They are not the same wrt data/service concerns
- SOAP versus REST
- Streaming data API

ASE Summer 2016 13



DaaS design & implementation – service provider vs data provider

 The DaaS provider is separated from the data provider



ASE Summer 2016



Example: DaaS provider =! data provider







Three levels



 DaaS and data providers have the right to publish the data





ASE Summer 2016



DaaS design & implementation – patterns for "turning data to DaaS" (1)



Examples: using WSO2 data service

Query								Edit Operation(getAllServiceAvailability)		
Query ID* getAvailabilityAll Data Source* QWSDataSet								Operation Name* Query ID*	getAllServiceAvailability getAvailabilityAll	
Result (Output Mapping)							Save Cancel			
Grouped by ele	ment	serviceAvaila	ability							
Row name service		service	5							
Row namespace http://www.in			nfosys.tuwien.ac.at/SOD1/d							
Element Name	SQL	Column Name	Mapping Type	Allowed User Roles	Schema Type	Actions				
availability	availa	bility	element	everyone	xs:double	🕼 Edit	💼 Delete			
serviceName	viceName ServiceName		element	everyone	xs:string	🐉 Edit	📋 Delete			
Add New Outp	out Map	oping								
awe Cancel	1									





DaaS design & implementation – patterns for "turning data to DaaS" (2)



Arris and a single and a single

🐻 Cre

s	Objects and Folders										
ate Bucket Actions -	O Upload Image: Create Folder Actions ▼	2 Refresh	 Properties Transfers Help 								
1	🗑 smad > 🥔 testdata										
	Name	Size	Last Modified								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050225_213424_000005862035_00058_15643_0353.N1	12.9 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:32:17 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050228_213954_000005862035_00101_15686_0705.N1	12.9 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:32:37 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050316_213820_000005012035_00330_15915_2268.N1	11 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:32:59 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050401_213800_000001502036_00058_16144_4008.N1	3.2 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:33:20 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050405_210811_000005192036_00115_16201_4433.N1	11.4 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:34:01 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050408_211356_000005012036_00158_16244_4730.N1	11 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:34:18 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050411_211942_000003922036_00201_16287_5118.N1	8.5 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:34:34 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050417_213511_000002112036_00287_16373_5662.N1	4.6 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:34:50 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050420_214112_000003202036_00330_16416_5947.N1	7 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:34:59 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050427_210649_000011052036_00429_16515_6487.N1	27 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:35:11 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050430_211232_000010932036_00472_16558_6730.N1	26.7 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:35:49 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050503_213221_000002472037_00015_16602_6950.N1	5.3 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:36:32 GMT+200 2011								
	ASA_GM1_1PNPDE20050522_213525_000002112037_00287_16874_7819.N1	4.6 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:36:41 GMT+200 2011								
	🗅 ASA GM1 19NPDF20050525 213129 000009002037 00329 16916 8126.N1	20.6 MB	Fri Jun 24 13:36:50 GMT+200 2011								



ASE Summer 2016



DaaS design & implementation – patterns for "turning data to DaaS" (3)





Map Satellite

ma A Kawagoe

3.815 people recommend this Sign Up to see what your friends

This map visualises crowd-sourced radiation geiger counter readings from across Japan. Click on the labels to get more information on

The number of locations fluctuate due to the validity of the data feeds. There are approximately 185 feeds from the official Japanese government source MEXT and the rest are from other sources such as the Tokyo hackspace, universities,local councils and

Readings are aggregated by Pachube here.

Below the average public space geige reading for Japan (0.081 µSv per hr

Above the average public space geige reading for Japan (0.081 µSv per hr)

10x above the average public space gelger reading for Japan.

100x above the average public space geiger reading for Japan.

SAFECAST

Map Satellite

Safecast is a volunteer organisation actively building a radiation sensor network within Japan and globally. Many of the crowd-sourced data points will originate from this effort. Please visit the Safecast site to learn

Measurements are represented in units of microsleverts per hour (µSv / h). Original eiger counter readings use the unit nGy/h and the conversion taken is 1 Gy = 1 Sy. (See



Examples: using Crowdsourcing with Pachube (the predecessor of Xively)

0.142 µSv/h

DaaS design & implementation – patterns for "turning data to DaaS" (4)



ASE Summer 2016

Resource Description GET statuses/retweets/:id Returns a collection of the 100 most recent retweets of the tweet specified by the id parameter.



DaaS design & implementation – not just "functional" aspects (1)





DaaS design & implementation – not just "functional" aspects (2)

Understand the DaaS ecosystem

Specifying, Evaluating and Provisioning Data concerns and Data Contract













DATA CONCERNS

ASE Summer 2016







Read: Carlo Batini, Monica Scannapieco: Data and Information Quality - Dimensions, Principles and Techniques. Data-Centric Systems and Applications, Springer 2016, ISBN 978-3-319-24104-3, pp. 1-449

ASE Summer 2016

26







DaaS concerns include QoS, quality of data (QoD), service licensing, data licensing, data governance, etc.







Why DaaS/data concerns are important?

- Too much data returned to the consumer/integrator are not good
- Results are returned without a clear usage and ownership causing data compliance problems
- Consumers want to deal with dynamic changes

Ultimate goal: to provide *relevant* data with acceptable constraints on data concerns in different provisioning models







- Which concerns are important in which situations?
- How to specify concerns?

Hong Linh Truong, Schahram Dustdar On analyzing and specifying concerns for data as a service. APSCC 2009: 87-94





Data governance







Read-only DaaS

Important factor for the selection of DaaS.

 For example, the accurary and compleness of the data, whether the data is up-todate

CRUD DaaS

 Expected some support to control the quality of the data in case the data is offered to other consumers





Data and service usage

Read-only DaaS

 Important factor, in particular, price, data and service APIs licensing, law enforcement, and Intellectual Property rights

CRUD DaaS

 Important factor, in paricular, price, service
 APIs licensing, and law enforcement





Quality of service

Read-only DaaS

 Important factor, in particular availability and response time

CRUD Daas

 Important factor, in particular, availability, response time, dependability, and security





Contextual information

Read-only DaaS

 Useful factor, such as classification and service type (REST, SOAP), location

CRUD DaaS

Important factor, e.g.
 location (for regulation compliance) and versioning

Conceptual model for DaaS concerns and contracts

F N



Implementation (1)



Check http://www.infosys.tuwien.ac.at/prototyp/SOD1/dataconcerns





 Data privacy concerns are annotated with WSDL and MicroWSMO











Populating DaaS concerns



Data concerns in multi-dimensional elasticity



DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS GROUP



HOW TO EVALUATE DATA CONCENRS FOR DATA ASSETS IN DAAS?





Patterns for "turning data to DaaS"



DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS GROUP



Typical activities for data wrapping and publishing



Typical activities for data updating & retrieval







Typical data concern evaluation









Evaluating data concerns – the three important points



Hong Linh Truong, Schahram Dustdar: On Evaluating and Publishing Data Concerns for Data as a Service. APSCC 2010: 363-370





Evaluating data concerns – some patterns (1)

Pull, pass-by-references





Evaluating data concerns – some patterns (2)

Pull, pass-by-values







ASE Summer 2016

Evaluating data concerns – some patterns (3)

Push, pass-by-values (1)





Evaluating data concerns – some patterns (4)

Push, pass-by-values (2)







Evaluation Tool – Internal Software components

- Self-developed or third-party software components for evaluation tool
- Advantages
 - Tightly couple integration → performance, security, data compliance
 - Customization
- Disadvantages
 - Usually cannot be integrated with other features (e.g., data enrichment)
 - Costly (e.g., what if we do not need them)







- Evaluation features are provided by cloud services
- Several implementations
 - Informatica Cloud Data Quality Web Services, StrikeIron,
- Advantages
 - Pay-per-use, combined features
- Disadvantages
 - Features are limited (with certain types of data)
 - Performance issues with large-scale data
 - Data compliance and security assurance

52



Evaluation Tool -- using human computation capabilities

- Professionals and Crowds can act as data concerns evaluators
 - For complex quality assessment that cannot be done by software
- Issues
 - Subjective evaluation
 - Performance
 - Limited type of data (e.g., images, documents, etc.)

Michael Reiter, Uwe Breitenbücher, Schahram Dustdar, Dimka Karastoyanova, Frank Leymann, Hong Linh Truong: A Novel Framework for Monitoring and Analyzing Quality of Data in Simulation Workflows. eScience 2011: 105-112

Maribel Acosta, Amrapali Zaveri, Elena Simperl, Dimitris Kontokostas, Sören Auer, Jens Lehmann: Crowdsourcing Linked Data Quality Assessment. International Semantic Web Conference (2) 2013: 260-276

Óscar Figuerola Salas, Velibor Adzic, Akash Shah, and Hari Kalva. 2013. Assessing internet video quality using crowdsourcing. In Proceedings of the 2nd ACM international workshop on Crowdsourcing for multimedia (CrowdMM '13). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 23-28. DOI=10.1145/2506364.2506366 http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2506364.2506366





QoD framework: publishing concerns (1)

- Off-line data concern publishing, e.g.
 - a common data concern publication specification
 - a tool for providing data concerns according to the specification
 - supported by external service information systems



QoD framework: publishing concerns (2)

- On-the-fly querying data concerns associated with data resources, e.g.,
 - Using REST parameter convention
 - Based on metric names in the data concern specification



Hong Linh Truong, Schahram Dustdar, Andrea Maurino, Marco Comerio: Context, Quality and Relevance: Dependencies and Impacts on RESTful Web Services Design. ICWE Workshops 2010: 347-359

ASE Summer 2016





QoD framework: publishing concerns (3)

 Specifying requests by using utilizing query parameters the form of metricName=value

GET/resource?crq.accuracy="0.5"&crq.location="Europe"

 Obtaining contex and quality by using context and quality parameters without specifying value conditions

```
curl http://localhost:8080/UNDataService/data/query/Population annual growth rate
(percent)?crq.qod
{"crq.qod" : {
"crq.dataelementcompleteness ": 0.8654708520179372,
"crq.datasetcompleteness": 0.7356502242152466,
...
}}
```





- Read mentioned papers
- Check characteristics, service models and deployment models of mentioned DaaS (and find out more)
- Identify services in the ecosystem of some DaaS
- Write small programs to test public DaaS, such as Xively, Microsoft Azure and Infochimps
- Turn some data to DaaS using existing tools





- Identify and analyze the relationships between data concerns evaluation tools and types of data
- Analyze trade-offs between on-line and off-line evaluation and when we can combine them
- Analyze how to utilize evaluated data concerns for optimizing data compositions
- Analyze situations when software cannot be used to evaluate data concerns





Thanks for your attention

Hong-Linh Truong Distributed Systems Group, TU Wien truong@dsg.tuwien.ac.at http://dsg.tuwien.ac.at/staff/truong @linhsolar

